

Implementation of an action plan to fight against fraudulent and counterfeit items within EDF – Manufacturing phase

Luc BERHAULT



Current status in EDF in 2018:

- •Few cases per year but with potential significant impacts (Safety, staff security, availability of NPPs, costs impacts, discredit on Nuclear and on surveillance made by the Operators) high workload to justify the impacted components...
- •Different kinds of C&F items: Renewal of welders qualifications; Material certificates acc. NF EN 10204 Std (counterfeit; modification of Lab report; change of information within internal supplier documentation)...
- •Different "organization" of the C&F: mainly by personal initiative isolated but favored by a lack of individual and collective safety culture on suppliers not belonging to Tier 1 (rank n, $n \ge 3$)
- •Different means of discovering :
 - ☐ By the surveillance of the Client/operator (inspector ..)..but generally can be late..
 - ☐ By the surveillance of the main Contractor on its supply chain (trend : ↗)
 - ☐ By the mean of preventive CFSI visit made by EDF/DI at some suppliers' workshops
- → Diversity of cases encountered (leading to difficulties to fight against);
- **→**Generally disproportion between the act of fraud made and the associated technical stakes



The current means of Fighting against C&F items within EDF:

- •In Call for tender phase: on contract at stake, to assess Industrial Scheme (supply chain) of the Main Contractor to orientate or impose the choice of some sub-contractors in case of risk
- •Inclusion of the Industrial Policy of EDF within the DI entity in charge of the Manufacturing

 Newrveillance: to make closer the two entities & topics (eg: analysis of weakness signals in economical field, industrial watch...)
 - •To raise awareness among Industry and ask them what action plan they implement themselves:
 - New Sending a formal mail to the most important Contractors (about 150 for EDF) signed by Head of Generation/ New Build Directions

 Qualification of the Main Contractor by EDF includes already a survey on safety culture and Ethic Policy.
 - •To include in procurement clauses more explicit & enhanced requirements for the Main Contractor: obligation to survey its sub-contractor, to accept extended surveillance made by EDF/DI (more intrusive), to carry out risk analyses and surveillance plan and to send it to EDF, to send material sampling for independent test made by EDF ...
 - •To carry out different surveillance:
 - ☐ Unexpected inspections; asking for the original material 3.1 certificate from the issuing entity...
 - ☐ Contradictory surveillance (NDT, chemical composition, comparative inter-lab on tensile test..) with own EDF resources or other external independent Lab

Current difficulties & proposal to move forward:

- •No formal organization existing today to communicate between different Operators / Main contractors the cases encountered (especially when C&F is not proven stay at SI stage):
 - C&F are offense punishable by the law: How to deal with "technically" the risk without involving contractual & legal consequences, not in the same time (to be dealt with but by other party and in a second time)?
 - each company name indicated with risk of CFSI could have significant consequences (risk of missing markets economic viability risk of company closure and staff dismissal..)
 - Risk of Offense of defamation for the counterparts involved in the sharing of the information
 - ... and in the same time to preserve the information sharing in order to prevent potential consequences on a Quality concern for an item of equipment to be used in a nuclear facility

Proposal on going: to use the recent creation of the GIFEN (Group of French Nuclear Industry Companies - mid 2018) in order to share CFSI topics (not yet implemented – terms and conditions not yet defined – on going)

But what about beyond this Group?



Current difficulties & proposal to move forward:

- **■**The surveillance is usually based on the observation of stamped reports -how to detect fake documents of « good quality » ?
 - Difficulty in questioning the veracity of <u>all</u> documents: how to choose the ones on which we will ask for the originals from the issuing Body?

Good practice to encourage for generalization: one Third Party entity in France has set-up a QR code on tensile test report, allowing inspector to check easily the compliance with the original on « Certificate & Report Authentification Service » of the TP

BUREAU VERITAS LABORATOIRES Laboratoire de Métallurgie 8 Avenue de Bourgogne 2.A. des Béthumes - BP 99102 98073 Cergy Pontoise Codekx 761. +33 (1) 14 40 51 33 - Fax. +33 (0) 1 34 64 21 58





| RAPPORT D'ESSAIS / TEST REPORT (page 1/1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|---|---------|-------|----|-----|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| RAPPORT D'ESSAIS N° / Test report #: C-010617-04594 (49806-0) | | | | | | | | | | | AN | ANNEXES / Appendices : 0 | | | |
| CLIENT: FLOWSERVE US INC Customer NC 27602 RALEIGH | | | | | | N° COMMANDE : 255312 du : 30/05/2017 Order number 255312 on 05/30/2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | AIRE : | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPECIFICATION RCC-M MC1000 - MC1211 / NF EN ISO 6892-1 / Sampling carried out according to ARUNA Specification EMMPQARM004 - R03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Les éprouvettes et les chutes seront conservées 3 mois ou réexpédiées à vos frais / Tries and cutting will be kept 3 months or send in your expenses. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESSAI DE TRACTION / TENSILE TEST | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Méthode d'essai / Test method : NF EN ISO 6892-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N° Test | Dimensions Dimensions | Position Position | Section Cross section | Temp. | Fm | ReH | Rp 0.2% | Rp 1% | Rm | A5d | Z « | E | Position cassure | | |

